

VERTEX ALGEBROIDS I

PAUL BRESSLER

1. INTRODUCTION

The purpose of this note is to give a “coordinate free” construction and prove the uniqueness of the vertex algebroid which gives rise to the chiral de Rham complex of [GMS]. In order to do that, we adapt the strategy of [BD] to the setting of vertex algebroids.

To this end we show that the stack $\mathcal{EVA}_X$ of exact vertex algebroids on X is a torsor under the stack in Picard groupoids $\mathcal{ECA}_X$ of exact Courant algebroids on X (and, in particular, is locally non-empty). Moreover, we show that $\mathcal{ECA}_X$ is naturally equivalent to the stack of $\Omega_X^2 \xrightarrow{d} \Omega_X^{3,cl}$ -torsors. These facts are proven for X a manifold (C^∞ , (complex) analytic, smooth algebraic variety over $\mathbb{C}$). We leave to the reader the obvious extension to differential graded manifolds.

Given a manifold X , let $X^\sharp$ denote the differential graded manifold with the underlying space X and the structure sheaf $\mathcal{O}_{X^\sharp}$ the de Rham complex of X . We show that $\mathcal{ECA}_{X^\sharp}$ is, in fact, trivial. Together with local existence of vertex $\mathcal{O}_{X^\sharp}$ -algebroids this implies that there exists a unique up to a unique isomorphism vertex algebroid over the de Rham complex. We give a “coordinate-free” description of the unique vertex $\mathcal{O}_{X^\sharp}$ -algebroid in terms of generators and relations.

2. VERTEX OPERATOR ALGEBRAS

Throughout this section we follow the notations of [GMS]. The following definitions are lifted from loc. cit.

2.1. A $\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$ -graded vertex algebra is a $\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$ -graded k -module $V = \bigoplus V_i$, equipped with a distinguished vector $\mathbf{1} \in V_0$ (*vacuum vector*) and a family of bilinear operations

$${}_{(n)} : V \times V \rightarrow V, (a, b) \mapsto a_{(n)}b$$

of degree $-n - 1$, $n \in \mathbb{Z}$, such that

$$\mathbf{1}_{(n)}a = \delta_{n,-1}a; \quad a_{(-1)}\mathbf{1} = a; \quad a_{(n)}\mathbf{1} = 0 \quad \text{if } n \geq 0,$$

and

$$\sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \binom{m}{j} (a_{(n+j)}b)_{(m+l-j)}c = \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} (-1)^j \binom{n}{j} \{a_{(m+n-j)}b_{(l+j)}c - (-1)^n b_{(n+l-j)}a_{(m+j)}c\}$$

for all $a, b, c \in V$, $m, n, l \in \mathbb{Z}$.

Date: February 1, 2008.

2.2. A morphism of vertex algebras is a map of graded k -modules (of degree zero) which maps the vacuum vector to the vacuum vector and commutes with all of the operations.

Let $\mathcal{Vert}$ denote the category of vertex algebras.

2.3. Let

$$\partial^{(j)}a := a_{(-1-j)}\mathbf{1}, \quad j \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}.$$

Then, $\partial^{(j)}$ is an endomorphisms of V of degree j which satisfies (see [GMS])

- $\partial^{(j)}\mathbf{1} = \delta_{j,0}\mathbf{1}$,
- $\partial^{(0)} = Id$,
- $\partial^{(i)} \cdot \partial^{(j)} = \binom{i+j}{i} \partial^{(i+j)}$,
- $(\partial^{(j)}a)_{(n)}b = (-1)^j \binom{n}{j} a_{(n-j)}b$, and
- $\partial^{(j)}(a_{(n)}b) = \sum_{p=0}^j (\partial^{(p)}a)_{(n)} \partial^{(j-p)}b$

for all $n \in \mathbb{Z}$.

2.4. The subject of the definition below is the restriction of the structure of a vertex algebra to the graded components of degrees zero and one.

A *1-truncated vertex algebra* is a septuple $v = (V_0, V_1, \mathbf{1}, \partial, {}_{(-1)}, {}_{(0)}, {}_{(1)})$ where

- V_0, V_1 are k -modules,
- $\mathbf{1}$ an element of V_0 (*vacuum vector*),
- $\partial : V_0 \rightarrow V_1$ a k -linear map,
- ${}_{(i)} : (V_0 \oplus V_1) \times (V_0 \oplus V_1) \rightarrow V_0 \oplus V_1$ (where $(i = -1, 0, 1)$) are k -bilinear operations of degree $-i - 1$.

Elements of V_0 (resp., V_1) will be denoted a, b, c (resp., x, y, z). There are seven operations: $a_{(-1)}b, a_{(-1)}x, x_{(-1)}a, a_{(0)}x, x_{(0)}a, x_{(0)}y$ and $x_{(1)}y$. These satisfy the following axioms:

- (Vacuum)

$$a_{(-1)}\mathbf{1} = a; \quad x_{(-1)}\mathbf{1} = x; \quad x_{(0)}\mathbf{1} = 0$$

- (Derivation)

$$Deriv_1 \quad (\partial a)_{(0)}b = 0; \quad (\partial a)_{(0)}x = 0; \quad (\partial a)_{(1)}x = -a_{(0)}x$$

$$Deriv_2 \quad \partial(a_{(-1)}b) = (\partial a)_{(-1)}b + a_{(-1)}\partial b; \quad \partial(x_{(0)}a) = x_{(0)}\partial a$$

- (Commutativity)

$$Comm_{-1} \quad a_{(-1)}b = b_{(-1)}a; \quad a_{(-1)}x = x_{(-1)}a - \partial(x_{(0)}a)$$

$$Comm_0 \quad x_{(0)}a = -a_{(0)}x; \quad x_{(0)}y = -y_{(0)}x + \partial(y_{(1)}x)$$

$$Comm_1 \quad x_{(1)}y = y_{(1)}x$$

- (Associativity)

$$Assoc_{-1} \quad (a_{(-1)}b)_{(-1)}c = a_{(-1)}b_{(-1)}c$$

$$Assoc_0 \quad \alpha_{(0)}\beta_{(i)}\gamma = (\alpha_{(0)}\beta)_{(i)}\gamma + \beta_{(i)}\alpha_{(0)}\gamma, \quad (\alpha, \beta, \gamma \in V_0 \oplus V_1) \text{ whenever the both sides are defined, i.e. the operation } {}_{(0)} \text{ is a derivation of all of the operations } {}_{(i)}.$$

$$Assoc_1 \quad (a_{(-1)}x)_{(0)}b = a_{(-1)}x_{(0)}b$$

$$Assoc_2 \quad (a_{(-1)}b)_{(-1)}x = a_{(-1)}b_{(-1)}x + (\partial a)_{(-1)}b_{(0)}x + (\partial b)_{(-1)}a_{(0)}x$$

$$Assoc_3 \quad (a_{(-1)}x)_{(1)}y = a_{(-1)}x_{(1)}y - x_{(0)}y_{(0)}a$$

2.5. A *morphism* between two 1-truncated vertex algebras $f : v = (V_0, V_1, \dots) \rightarrow v' = (V'_0, V'_1, \dots)$ is a pair of maps of k -modules $f = (f_0, f_1)$, $f_i : V_i \rightarrow V'_i$ such that $f_0(\mathbf{1}) = \mathbf{1}'$, $f_1(\partial a) = \partial f_0(a)$ and $f(\alpha_{(i)}\beta) = f(\alpha)_{(i)}f(\beta)$, whenever both sides are defined.

Let $\mathcal{V}ert_{\leq 1}$ denote the category of 1-truncated vertex algebras. We have an obvious truncation functor

$$t : \mathcal{V}ert \rightarrow \mathcal{V}ert_{\leq 1} \quad (3.1.1)$$

which assigns to a vertex algebra $V = \oplus V_i$ the truncated algebra $tV := (V_0, V_1, \dots)$.

2.6. **Remark.** It follows easily that the operation $(-)_1 : V_0 \times V_0 \rightarrow V_0$ endows V_0 with a structure of a commutative k -algebra.

3. VERTEX ALGEBROIDS

Suppose that X is smooth variety over $\mathbb{C}$ (a complex manifold, a C^∞ -manifold). In either case we will denote by $\mathcal{O}_X$ ($\mathcal{T}_X$, Ω_X^i) the corresponding structure sheaf (the sheaf of vector fields, the sheaf of differential i -forms).

A vertex $\mathcal{O}_X$ -algebroid, as defined in this section, is, essentially, a sheaf of 1-truncated vertex algebras, whose degree zero component (which is a sheaf of algebras by 2.6) is identified with $\mathcal{O}_X$.

3.1. **Leibniz algebras.** A *Leibnitz k -algebra* is a k -vector space $\mathfrak{g}$ equipped with a bilinear operation $[\ , \] : \mathfrak{g} \otimes \mathfrak{g} \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}$ (the Leibniz bracket) which satisfies the Jacobi type identity $[a, [b, c]] = [[a, b], c] + [b, [a, c]]$.

A morphism of Leibniz k -algebras is a k -linear map which commutes with the respective Leibniz brackets.

We denote the category of Leibniz k -algebras by $\text{Leib}(k)$. Let $\text{Lie}(k)$ denote the category of Lie k -algebras.

Since any Lie algebra is a Leibnitz algebra there is an full embedding

$$\text{Lie}(k) \rightarrow \text{Leib}(k) . \quad (3.1.1)$$

3.2. **Vertex algebroids.** A *vertex $\mathcal{O}_X$ -algebroid* is a sheaf of $\mathbb{C}$ -vector spaces $\mathcal{V}$ with a pairing

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{O}_X \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} \mathcal{V} &\rightarrow \mathcal{V} \\ f \otimes v &\mapsto f * v \end{aligned}$$

such that $1 * v = v$ (i.e. a “non-associative unital $\mathcal{O}_X$ -module”) equipped with

- (1) a structure of a Leibniz $\mathbb{C}$ -algebra $[\ , \] : \mathcal{V} \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} \mathcal{V} \rightarrow \mathcal{V}$
- (2) a $\mathbb{C}$ -linear map of Leibniz algebras $\pi : \mathcal{V} \rightarrow \mathcal{T}_X$ (the *anchor*)
- (3) a symmetric $\mathbb{C}$ -bilinear pairing $\langle \ , \ \rangle : \mathcal{V} \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} \mathcal{V} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_X$
- (4) a $\mathbb{C}$ -linear map $\partial : \mathcal{O}_X \rightarrow \mathcal{V}$ such that $\pi \circ \partial = 0$

which satisfy

$$f * (g * v) - (fg) * v = -\pi(v)(f) * \partial(g) - \pi(v)(g) * \partial(f) \quad (3.2.1)$$

$$[v_1, f * v_2] = \pi(v_1)(f) * v_2 + f * [v_1, v_2] \quad (3.2.2)$$

$$[v_1, v_2] + [v_2, v_1] = \partial(\langle v_1, v_2 \rangle) \quad (3.2.3)$$

$$\pi(f * v) = f\pi(v) \quad (3.2.4)$$

$$\langle f * v_1, v_2 \rangle = f\langle v_1, v_2 \rangle - \pi(v_1)(\pi(v_2)(f)) \quad (3.2.5)$$

$$\pi(v)(\langle v_1, v_2 \rangle) = \langle [v, v_1], v_2 \rangle + \langle v_1, [v, v_2] \rangle \quad (3.2.6)$$

$$\partial(fg) = f * \partial(g) + g * \partial(f) \quad (3.2.7)$$

$$[v, \partial(f)] = \partial(\pi(v)(f)) \quad (3.2.8)$$

$$\langle v, \partial(f) \rangle = \pi(v)(f) \quad (3.2.9)$$

for $v, v_1, v_2 \in \mathcal{V}$, $f, g \in \mathcal{O}_X$.

3.2.1. A morphism of vertex $\mathcal{O}_X$ -algebroids is a $\mathbb{C}$ -linear map of sheaves which preserves all of the structures.

We denote the category of vertex $\mathcal{O}_X$ -algebroids by $\mathcal{VA}_{\mathcal{O}_X}(X)$. It is clear that the notion vertex $\mathcal{O}_X$ -algebroid is local, i.e. vertex $\mathcal{O}_X$ -algebroids form a stack which we denote by $\mathcal{VA}_{\mathcal{O}_X}$.

3.3. **From vertex algebroids to 1-truncated vertex algebras.** Suppose that $\mathcal{V}$ is a vertex $\mathcal{O}_X$ -algebroid. For $f, g \in \mathcal{O}_X$, $v, w \in \mathcal{V}$ let

$$f_{(-1)}g = fg, \quad f_{(-1)}v = f * v, \quad v_{(-1)}f = f * v - \partial\pi(v)(f), \quad (3.3.1)$$

$$v_{(0)}f = -f_{(0)}v = \pi(v)(f), \quad v_{(0)}w = [v, w], \quad (3.3.2)$$

$$v_{(1)}w = \langle v, w \rangle. \quad (3.3.3)$$

3.3.1. *Lemma.* The septuple $(\mathcal{O}_X, \mathcal{V}, 1, \partial, {}_{(-1)}, {}_{(0)}, {}_{(1)})$ is a sheaf of 1-truncated vertex operator algebras.

Conversely, if the septuple $(\mathcal{O}_X, \mathcal{V}, 1, \partial, {}_{(-1)}, {}_{(0)}, {}_{(1)})$ is a sheaf of 1-truncated vertex operator algebras, then the formulas (3.3.1), (3.3.2), (3.3.3) define a structure of a vertex $\mathcal{O}_X$ -algebroid on $\mathcal{V}$.

3.4. **The associated Lie algebroid.** Suppose that $\mathcal{V}$ is a vertex $\mathcal{O}_X$ -algebroid. Let

$$\begin{aligned} \Omega_{\mathcal{V}} &\stackrel{def}{=} \mathcal{O}_X * \partial(\mathcal{O}_X) \subset \mathcal{V}, \\ \overline{\mathcal{V}} &\stackrel{def}{=} \mathcal{V} / \Omega_{\mathcal{V}}. \end{aligned}$$

Note that the symmetrization of the Leibniz bracket takes values in $\Omega_{\mathcal{V}}$.

For $f, g, h \in \mathcal{O}_X$

$$f * (g * \partial(h)) - (fg) * \partial(h) = \pi(\partial(h))(f) * \partial(g) + \pi(\partial(h))(g) * \partial(f) = 0,$$

because $\pi \circ \partial = 0$. Therefore, $\mathcal{O}_X * \Omega_{\mathcal{V}} = \Omega_{\mathcal{V}}$, and $\Omega_{\mathcal{V}}$ is an $\mathcal{O}_X$ -module. The map $\partial : \mathcal{O}_X \rightarrow \Omega_{\mathcal{V}}$ is a derivation, hence induces the $\mathcal{O}_X$ -linear map $\Omega_X^1 \rightarrow \Omega_{\mathcal{V}}$.

Since the associator of the $\mathcal{O}_X$ -action on $\mathcal{V}$ takes values in $\Omega_{\mathcal{V}}$, $\overline{\mathcal{V}}$ is an $\mathcal{O}_X$ -module.

For $f, g, h \in \mathcal{O}_X$

$$\pi(f\partial(g))(h) = f\pi(\partial(g))(h) = 0 .$$

Therefore, π vanishes on $\Omega_{\mathcal{V}}$, hence, factors through the map

$$\pi : \overline{\mathcal{V}} \rightarrow \mathcal{T}_X \tag{3.4.1}$$

of $\mathcal{O}_X$ -modules.

For $v \in \mathcal{V}$, $f, g \in \mathcal{O}_X$

$$\begin{aligned} [v, f\partial(g)] &= \pi(v)(f)\partial(g) + f[v, \partial(g)] \\ &= \pi(v)(f)\partial(g) + f\partial(\pi(v)(g)) . \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, $[\mathcal{V}, \Omega_{\mathcal{V}}] \subseteq \Omega_{\mathcal{V}}$ and the Leibniz bracket on $\mathcal{V}$ descends to the operation

$$[\ , \] : \overline{\mathcal{V}} \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} \overline{\mathcal{V}} \rightarrow \overline{\mathcal{V}} \tag{3.4.2}$$

which is skew-symmetric because the symmetrization of the Leibniz bracket on $\mathcal{V}$ takes values in $\Omega_{\mathcal{V}}$ and satisfies the Jacobi identity because the Leibniz bracket on $\mathcal{V}$ does.

3.4.1. Lemma. The $\mathcal{O}_X$ -module $\overline{\mathcal{V}}$ with the bracket (3.4.2) and the anchor (3.4.1) is a Lie $\mathcal{O}_X$ -algebroid.

3.5. Transitive vertex algebroids. A vertex $\mathcal{O}_X$ -algebroid is called *transitive* if the anchor map is surjective.

3.5.1. Remark. The vertex $\mathcal{O}_X$ -algebroid $\mathcal{V}$ is called transitive if and only if the Lie $\mathcal{O}_X$ -algebroid $\overline{\mathcal{V}}$ is.

3.5.2. Suppose that $\mathcal{V}$ is a transitive vertex $\mathcal{O}_X$ -algebroid. The derivation ∂ induces the map

$$i : \Omega_X^1 \rightarrow \mathcal{V} .$$

For $v \in \mathcal{V}$, $f, g \in \mathcal{O}_X$

$$\begin{aligned} \langle v, f\partial(g) \rangle &= f\langle v, \partial(g) \rangle - \pi(\partial(g))\pi(v)(f) \\ &= f\pi(v)(g) \\ &= \iota_{\pi(v)} f dg . \end{aligned}$$

It follows that the map i is adjoint to the anchor map π . The surjectivity of the latter implies the latter implies the injectivity of the former. Since, in addition, $\pi \circ i = 0$ the sequence

$$0 \rightarrow \Omega_X^1 \xrightarrow{i} \mathcal{V} \xrightarrow{\pi} \overline{\mathcal{V}} \rightarrow 0$$

is exact and i is isotropic.

3.6. Exact vertex algebroids. A vertex algebroid $\mathcal{V}$ is called *exact* if the map $\overline{\mathcal{V}} \rightarrow \mathcal{T}_X$ is an isomorphism.

We denote the stack of exact vertex $\mathcal{O}_X$ -algebroids by $\mathcal{EVA}_X$.

A morphism of exact vertex algebroids induces a morphism of respective extensions of $\mathcal{T}_X$ by Ω_X^1 , hence is an isomorphism of sheaves of $\mathbb{C}$ -vector spaces. It is clear that the inverse isomorphism is a morphism of vertex $\mathcal{O}_X$ -algebroids. Hence, $\mathcal{EVA}_X$ is a stack in groupoids.

3.6.1. Example. Suppose that $\mathcal{T}_X$ is freely generated as an $\mathcal{O}_X$ -module by a locally constant subsheaf of Lie $\mathbb{C}$ -subalgebras $\tau \subset \mathcal{T}_X$, i.e. the canonical map $\mathcal{O}_X \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} \tau \rightarrow \mathcal{T}_X$ is an isomorphism.

There is a unique structure of an exact vertex $\mathcal{O}_X$ -algebroid on $\mathcal{V} = \Omega_X^1 \oplus \mathcal{T}_X$ such that

- $f * (1 \otimes t) = f \otimes t$ for $f \in \mathcal{O}_X$, $t \in \tau$,
- the anchor map is given by the projection $\mathcal{V} \rightarrow \mathcal{T}_X$,
- the map $\tau \rightarrow \mathcal{V}$ is a morphism of Leibniz algebras,
- the pairing on $\mathcal{V}$ restricts to the trivial pairing on τ ,
- the derivation $\partial : \mathcal{O}_X \rightarrow \mathcal{V}$ is given by the composition $\mathcal{O}_X \xrightarrow{d} \Omega_X^1 \rightarrow \mathcal{V}$.

Indeed, the action of $\mathcal{O}_X$ is completely determined by (3.2.1): for $f, g \in \mathcal{O}_X$, $t \in \tau$,

$$f * (g \otimes t) = f * (g * (1 \otimes t)) = fg \otimes t - t(f)dg - t(g)df.$$

In a similar fashion the bracket is completely determined by (3.2.2) and (3.2.3), and the pairing is determined by (3.2.5).

We leave the verification of the identities (3.2.1) - (3.2.9) to the reader.

4. COURANT ALGEBROIDS

Courant algebroids are classical limits of vertex algebroids. They are related to vertex Poisson algebras (coisson algebras in the terminology of [BD]) in the same way as the vertex algebroids are related to vertex operators algebras.

4.1. Courant algebroids. A *Courant $\mathcal{O}_X$ -algebroid* is an $\mathcal{O}_X$ -module $\mathcal{Q}$ equipped with

- (1) a structure of a Leibniz $\mathbb{C}$ -algebra

$$[\cdot, \cdot] : \mathcal{Q} \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} \mathcal{Q} \rightarrow \mathcal{Q},$$

- (2) an $\mathcal{O}_X$ -linear map of Leibniz algebras (the anchor map)

$$\pi : \mathcal{Q} \rightarrow \mathcal{T}_X,$$

- (3) a symmetric $\mathcal{O}_X$ -bilinear pairing

$$\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle : \mathcal{Q} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_X} \mathcal{Q} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_X,$$

- (4) a derivation

$$\partial : \mathcal{O}_X \rightarrow \mathcal{Q}$$

such that $\pi \circ \partial = 0$

which satisfy

$$[q_1, f q_2] = f[q_1, q_2] + \pi(q_1)(f)q_2 \quad (4.1.1)$$

$$\langle [q, q_1], q_2 \rangle + \langle q_1, [q, q_2] \rangle = \pi(q)(\langle q_1, q_2 \rangle) \quad (4.1.2)$$

$$[q, \partial(f)] = \partial(\pi(q)(f)) \quad (4.1.3)$$

$$\langle q, \partial(f) \rangle = \pi(q)(f) \quad (4.1.4)$$

$$[q_1, q_2] + [q_2, q_1] = \partial(\langle q_1, q_2 \rangle) \quad (4.1.5)$$

for $f \in \mathcal{O}_X$ and $q, q_1, q_2 \in \mathcal{Q}$.

4.1.1. A morphism of Courant $\mathcal{O}_X$ -algebroids is an $\mathcal{O}_X$ -linear map of Leibnitz algebras which commutes with the respective anchor maps and derivations and preserves the respective pairings.

We denote the category of Courant $\mathcal{O}_X$ -algebroids on X by $\mathcal{CA}_{\mathcal{O}_X}(X)$. The notion of Courant $\mathcal{O}_X$ -algebroid is local, i.e. Courant $\mathcal{O}_X$ -algebroids form a stack which we denote $\mathcal{CA}_{\mathcal{O}_X}$.

4.2. **The associated Lie algebroid.** Suppose that $\mathcal{Q}$ is a Courant $\mathcal{O}_X$ -algebroid. Let

$$\begin{aligned} \Omega_{\mathcal{Q}} &\stackrel{def}{=} \mathcal{O}_X \partial(\mathcal{O}_X) \subset \mathcal{Q}, \\ \overline{\mathcal{Q}} &\stackrel{def}{=} \mathcal{Q} / \Omega_{\mathcal{Q}}. \end{aligned}$$

Note that the symmetrization of the Leibniz bracket on $\mathcal{Q}$ takes values in $\Omega_{\mathcal{Q}}$.

For $q \in \mathcal{Q}$, $f, g \in \mathcal{O}_X$

$$\begin{aligned} [q, f \partial(g)] &= f[q, \partial(g)] + \pi(q)(f) \partial(g) \\ &= f \partial(\pi(q)(g)) + \pi(q)(f) \partial(g) \end{aligned}$$

which shows that $[\mathcal{Q}, \Omega_{\mathcal{Q}}] \subseteq \Omega_{\mathcal{Q}}$. Therefore the Leibniz bracket on $\mathcal{Q}$ descends to the Lie bracket

$$[\cdot, \cdot] : \overline{\mathcal{Q}} \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} \overline{\mathcal{Q}} \rightarrow \overline{\mathcal{Q}}. \quad (4.2.1)$$

Because π is $\mathcal{O}_X$ -linear and $\pi \circ \partial = 0$, π vanishes on $\Omega_{\mathcal{Q}}$ and factors through the map

$$\pi : \overline{\mathcal{Q}} \rightarrow \mathcal{T}_X. \quad (4.2.2)$$

4.2.1. *Lemma.* The bracket (4.2.1) and the anchor (4.2.2) determine the structure of a Lie $\mathcal{O}_X$ -algebroid on $\overline{\mathcal{Q}}$.

4.3. **Transitive Courant algebroids.** A Courant $\mathcal{O}_X$ -algebroid is called *transitive* if the anchor map is surjective.

4.3.1. *Remark.* A Courant $\mathcal{O}_X$ -algebroid $\mathcal{Q}$ is transitive if and only if the associated Lie $\mathcal{O}_X$ -algebroid is.

4.3.2. Suppose that $\mathcal{Q}$ is a transitive Courant $\mathcal{O}_X$ -algebroid. The derivation ∂ induces the $\mathcal{O}_X$ -linear map

$$i : \Omega_X^1 \rightarrow \mathcal{Q} .$$

Since $\langle q, \alpha \rangle = \iota_{\pi(q)} \alpha$, it follows that the map i is adjoint to the anchor map π . The surjectivity of the latter implies that i is injective. Since, in addition, $\pi \circ i = 0$ the sequence

$$0 \rightarrow \Omega_X^1 \xrightarrow{i} \mathcal{Q} \rightarrow \overline{\mathcal{Q}} \rightarrow 0$$

is exact. Moreover, i is isotropic with respect to the symmetric pairing.

4.4. **Exact Courant algebroids.** The Courant algebroid $\mathcal{Q}$ is called *exact* if the anchor map $\pi : \overline{\mathcal{Q}} \rightarrow \mathcal{T}_X$ is an isomorphism.

We denote the stack of exact Courant $\mathcal{O}_X$ -algebroids by $\mathcal{ECA}_X$.

A morphism of exact Courant algebroids induces a morphism of respective extensions of $\mathcal{T}_X$ by Ω_X^1 , hence is an isomorphism of $\mathcal{O}_X$ -modules. It is clear that the inverse is a morphism of Courant $\mathcal{O}_X$ -algebroids. Hence $\mathcal{ECA}_X$ is a stack in groupoids.

4.5. **Automorphisms of exact Courant algebroids.** Let $\text{Ext}_{\mathcal{O}_X}^{\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle}(\mathcal{T}_X, \Omega_X^1)$ denote the category whose objects are pairs $(\mathcal{E}, \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle)$, where $\mathcal{E}$ is an extension

$$0 \rightarrow \Omega_X^1 \xrightarrow{i} \mathcal{E} \xrightarrow{\pi} \mathcal{T}_X \rightarrow 0 .$$

and

$$\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle : \mathcal{E} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_X} \mathcal{E} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_X$$

is a symmetric pairing such that i is Lagrangian and the induced pairing between Ω_X^1 and $\mathcal{T}_X = \mathcal{E}/\Omega_X^1$ is the canonical duality pairing. A morphism of such is a morphism of the underlying extensions which preserves the respective pairings.

The map

$$\exp : \underline{\text{Hom}}_{\mathcal{O}_X}(\mathcal{T}_X, \Omega_X^1) \rightarrow \underline{\text{Aut}}_{\text{Ext}}(\mathcal{E}) \tag{4.5.1}$$

defined by $\phi \mapsto (e \mapsto e + \phi(\pi(e)))$ is an isomorphism. It restricts to the isomorphism

$$\exp : \Omega_X^2 \rightarrow \underline{\text{Aut}}_{\text{Ext}}^{\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle}(\mathcal{E}, \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle) ,$$

via the map

$$\Omega_X^2 \rightarrow \underline{\text{Hom}}_{\mathcal{O}_X}(\mathcal{T}_X, \Omega_X^1)$$

defined by $\beta \mapsto (\xi \mapsto \iota_\xi \beta)$.

Suppose that $\mathcal{Q}$ is an exact Courant $\mathcal{O}_X$ -algebroid. The automorphism, induced by a 2-form β of the underlying extension preserves the Leibnitz bracket if and only if the form β is closed, i.e. the map (4.5.1) restricts to the isomorphism

$$\Omega_X^{2,cl} \rightarrow \underline{\text{Aut}}_{\mathcal{ECA}}(\mathcal{Q}) .$$

4.6. **The $\mathbb{C}$ -vector space structure of $\mathcal{ECA}$.** The category $\mathcal{ECA}_X(X)$ has a natural structure of a “ $\mathbb{C}$ -vector space in categories” induced by that of $\text{Ext}_{\mathcal{O}_X}^1(\mathcal{T}_X, \Omega_X^1)$.

4.6.1. *Addition.* Suppose that $\mathcal{Q}_1$ and $\mathcal{Q}_2$ are two exact Courant $\mathcal{O}_X$ -algebroids. Let $\mathcal{Q}_1 + \mathcal{Q}_2$ denote the push-out of $\mathcal{Q}_1 \times_{\mathcal{T}_X} \mathcal{Q}_2$ by the addition map $\Omega_X^1 \times \Omega_X^1 \rightarrow \Omega_X^1$. A section of $\mathcal{Q}_1 + \mathcal{Q}_2$ is an equivalence class of pairs (q_1, q_2) , where $q_i \in \mathcal{Q}_i$ and $\pi(q_1) = \pi(q_2)$. Two pairs are equivalent if their (componentwise) difference is of the form $(i(\alpha), -i(\alpha))$ for some $\alpha \in \Omega_X^1$.

The two maps $\Omega_X^1 \rightarrow \mathcal{Q}_1 + \mathcal{Q}_2$ given by $\alpha \mapsto (i(\alpha), 0)$ and $\alpha \mapsto (0, i(\alpha))$ coincide. We denote their common value by i as well. There is a short exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow \Omega_X^1 \xrightarrow{i} \mathcal{Q}_1 + \mathcal{Q}_2 \xrightarrow{\pi} \mathcal{T}_X \rightarrow 0 ,$$

where $\pi((q_1, q_2))$ is defined as the common value of $\pi(q_1)$ and $\pi(q_2)$.

The map i determines the derivation $\partial : \mathcal{O}_X \rightarrow \mathcal{Q}_1 + \mathcal{Q}_2$ by $\partial(f) = i(df)$.

For $q_i, q'_i \in \mathcal{Q}_i$ let

$$[(q_1, q_2), (q'_1, q'_2)] = ([q_1, q'_1], [q_2, q'_2]), \quad \langle (q_1, q_2), (q'_1, q'_2) \rangle = \langle q_1, q'_1 \rangle + \langle q_2, q'_2 \rangle . \quad (4.6.1)$$

4.6.2. *Lemma.* The bracket and the symmetric pairing given by (4.6.1) determine a structure of an exact Courant algebroid on $\mathcal{Q}_1 + \mathcal{Q}_2$.

4.6.3. *Scalar multiplication.* Suppose that $\mathcal{Q}$ is an exact Courant $\mathcal{O}_X$ -algebroid and $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$. Let $\lambda\mathcal{Q}$ denote push-out of $\mathcal{Q}$ by the multiplication by λ map $\Omega_X^1 \xrightarrow{\lambda} \Omega_X^1$. A section of $\lambda\mathcal{Q}$ is an equivalence class of pairs (α, q) with $\alpha \in \Omega_X^1$ and $q \in \mathcal{Q}$. Two pairs as above are equivalent if their componentwise difference is of the form $(\lambda\alpha, -i(\alpha))$ for some $\alpha \in \Omega_X^1$.

Let $i : \Omega_X^1 \rightarrow \lambda\mathcal{Q}$ denote the map $\alpha \mapsto (\alpha, 0)$. There is a short exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow \Omega_X^1 \xrightarrow{i} \lambda\mathcal{Q} \xrightarrow{\pi} \mathcal{T}_X \rightarrow 0$$

where $\pi(\alpha, q) = \pi(q)$.

The map i determines the derivation $\partial : \mathcal{O}_X \rightarrow \lambda\mathcal{Q}$ by $\partial(f) = i(df) = (df, 0)$. Note that $\pi \circ i = 0$ holds.

4.6.4. *Lemma.* There is a unique structure of exact Courant algebroid on $\lambda\mathcal{Q}$ (with anchor π and derivation ∂ as above) such that the map $\mathcal{Q} \rightarrow \lambda\mathcal{Q} : q \mapsto (0, q)$ is a morphism of Leibniz algebras.

Proof. For $q_i \in \mathcal{Q}$ the calculation

$$\begin{aligned} (\lambda d\langle q_1, q_2 \rangle, 0) &= (0, \partial\langle q_1, q_2 \rangle) = \\ &= (0, [q_1, q_2] + [q_2, q_1]) = [(0, q_1), (0, q_2)] + [(0, q_2), (0, q_1)] = \partial\langle (0, q_1), (0, q_2) \rangle = \\ &= (d\langle (0, q_1), (0, q_2) \rangle, 0) \end{aligned}$$

together with (4.1.4) determines the symmetric pairing on $\lambda\mathcal{Q}$. In particular, $\langle (0, q_1), (0, q_2) \rangle = \lambda\langle q_1, q_2 \rangle$. $\square$

4.6.5. Let $\mathcal{Q}_0$ denote the exact Courant algebroid with the underlying $\mathcal{O}_X$ -module the trivial extension $\Omega_X^1 \oplus \mathcal{T}_X$, self-evident derivation and anchor map, the symmetric pairing the obvious extension of the natural duality pairing and the Leibniz bracket uniquely determined by the requirement that the natural inclusion of $\mathcal{T}_X$ is a map of Leibniz algebras.

Note that $\mathcal{Q}_0$ is equipped with a flat connection. For any exact Courant algebroid $\mathcal{Q}$ a flat connection on $\mathcal{Q}$ is the same thing as a morphism $\mathcal{Q}_0 \rightarrow \mathcal{Q}$.

4.6.6. *Lemma.* For any exact Courant algebroid $\mathcal{Q}$ there is a canonical isomorphism $\mathcal{Q} \cong \mathcal{Q} + \mathcal{Q}_0$.

4.6.7. *Remark.* The natural morphism (of Leibniz algebras) $\mathcal{Q} \rightarrow \lambda\mathcal{Q}$ factors through $\mathcal{T}_X$ if $\lambda = 0$ (and is an isomorphism of the underlying $\mathcal{O}_X$ -modules otherwise) and the composition $\mathcal{T}_X \rightarrow 0\mathcal{Q} \rightarrow \mathcal{T}_X$ is clearly equal to the identity. Thus, $0\mathcal{Q}$ carries a canonical flat connection, or, equivalently, is canonically isomorphic to $\mathcal{Q}_0$.

4.6.8. *Remark.* If $\lambda \neq 0$ the natural map $\mathcal{Q} \rightarrow \lambda\mathcal{Q}$ is an isomorphism of the underlying $\mathcal{O}_X$ -modules with the inverse given by $(\alpha, q) \mapsto q + \lambda^{-1}\alpha$. The Courant algebroid structure on $\mathcal{Q}$ induced via this isomorphism and denoted $\partial_{\lambda\mathcal{Q}}$, etc. is given by

$$\partial_{\lambda\mathcal{Q}}(f) = \lambda^{-1}\partial(f), \quad \langle q_1, q_2 \rangle_{\lambda\mathcal{Q}} = \lambda\langle q_1, q_2 \rangle, \quad [q_1, q_2]_{\lambda\mathcal{Q}} = [q_1, q_2]$$

4.7. Classification of exact Courant algebroids.

4.7.1. *Connections.* A *connection* on an exact Courant $\mathcal{O}_X$ -algebroid $\mathcal{Q}$ is a Lagrangian section of the anchor map.

4.7.2. *Curvature.* Suppose that $\mathcal{Q}$ is an exact Courant $\mathcal{O}_X$ -algebroid and ∇ is a connection on $\mathcal{Q}$. The formula

$$(\xi, \xi_1, \xi_2) \mapsto \iota_\xi([\nabla(\xi_1), \nabla(\xi_2)] - \nabla([\xi_1, \xi_2]))$$

defines a differential 3-form $c(\nabla)$ on X which is easily seen to be closed.

The differential form $c(\nabla)$ is called *the curvature* of the connection ∇ .

4.7.3. Suppose that $\mathcal{Q}$ is an exact Courant $\mathcal{O}_X$ -algebroid, ∇ is a connection on $\mathcal{Q}$ and $\phi \in \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{O}_X}(\mathcal{T}_X, \Omega_X^1)$. Then, $\nabla + \phi$ is another section of the anchor map. The section $\nabla + \phi$ is Lagrangian if and only if ϕ is derived from a differential 2-form, i.e. there exists $\beta \in \Omega_X^2(X)$ such that $\phi(\xi) = \iota_\xi\beta$ for all ξ .

Let $\mathcal{C}(\mathcal{Q})$ denote the sheaf of (locally defined) connections on $\mathcal{Q}$. It is a Ω_X^2 -torsor. The correspondence $\nabla \mapsto c(\nabla)$ defines the map

$$c : \mathcal{C}(\mathcal{Q}) \rightarrow \Omega_X^{3,cl}$$

which satisfies

$$c(\nabla + \tilde{\beta}) = c(\nabla) + d\beta,$$

where $\tilde{(\)} : \Omega_X^i \rightarrow \underline{\text{Hom}}_{\mathcal{O}_X}(\mathcal{T}_X, \Omega_X^{i-1})$ is defined by $\tilde{\beta}(\xi) = \iota_\xi\beta$.

Therefore, the pair $(\mathcal{C}(\), c)$ defines the functor

$$\mathcal{ECA}_X(X) \rightarrow (\Omega_X^2 \xrightarrow{d} \Omega_X^{3,cl}) - tors. \quad (4.7.1)$$

4.7.4. *Lemma.* The functor (4.7.1) is an equivalence of $\mathbb{C}$ -vector spaces in categories.

4.7.5. *Corollary.* The $\mathbb{C}$ -vector space of isomorphism classes of exact Courant $\mathcal{O}_X$ -algebroids is naturally isomorphic to $H^1(X; \Omega_X^2 \xrightarrow{d} \Omega_X^{3,cl})$.

4.8. **The action on $\mathcal{EVA}_X$.** The groupoid $\mathcal{EVA}_X(X)$, if non-empty, is an “affine space in categories” (under the action of the “ $\mathbb{C}$ -vector space in categories” $\mathcal{ECA}_X(X)$).

4.8.1. Suppose that $\mathcal{V}$ (respectively, $\mathcal{Q}$) is an exact vertex (respectively, Courant) algebroid. Let $\mathcal{Q} + \mathcal{V}$ denote the push-out of $\mathcal{Q} \times_{\mathcal{T}_X} \mathcal{V}$ by the addition map $\Omega_X^1 \times \Omega_X^1 \xrightarrow{+} \Omega_X^1$. A section of $\mathcal{Q} + \mathcal{V}$ is an equivalence class of pairs (q, v) with $q \in \mathcal{Q}$, $v \in \mathcal{V}$ and $\pi(q) = \pi(v)$. Two pairs are equivalent if their (componentwise) difference is of the form $(i(\alpha), -i(\alpha))$ for some $\alpha \in \Omega_X^1$.

The two maps $\Omega_X^1 \rightarrow \mathcal{Q} + \mathcal{V}$ given by $\alpha \mapsto (i(\alpha), 0)$ and $\alpha \mapsto (0, i(\alpha))$ coincide and we denote their common value by i as well. There is a short exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow \Omega_X^1 \xrightarrow{i} \mathcal{Q} + \mathcal{V} \xrightarrow{\pi} \mathcal{T}_X \rightarrow 0 ,$$

where $\pi((q, v))$ is defined to be the common value of $\pi(q)$ and $\pi(v)$. Let

$$\begin{aligned} \partial(f) &= i(df), \quad f * (q, v) = (fq, f * v), \\ [(q_1, v_1), (q_2, v_2)] &= ([q_1, q_2], [v_1, v_2]), \quad \langle (q_1, v_1), (q_2, v_2) \rangle = \langle q_1, q_2 \rangle + \langle v_1, v_2 \rangle \end{aligned} \quad (4.8.1)$$

4.8.2. *Lemma.* The formulas (4.8.1) determine a structure of a vertex algebroid on $\mathcal{Q} + \mathcal{V}$.

4.8.3. *Proposition.* $\mathcal{EVA}_X$ is a torsor under $\mathcal{ECA}_X$.

Proof. Suppose that $\mathcal{V}_1$ and $\mathcal{V}_2$ are two exact vertex $\mathcal{O}_X$ -algebroids. Let $\mathcal{V}_2 - \mathcal{V}_1$ the push-out of $\mathcal{V}_2 \times_{\mathcal{T}_X} \mathcal{V}_1$ by the difference map $\Omega_X^1 \times \Omega_X^1 \xrightarrow{-} \Omega_X^1 : (\alpha, \beta) \mapsto \alpha - \beta$. A section of $\mathcal{V}_2 - \mathcal{V}_1$ is an equivalence class of pairs (v_1, v_2) , where $v_i \in \mathcal{V}_i$ and $\pi(v_1) = \pi(v_2)$. Two pairs are equivalent if their (componentwise) difference is of the form $(i(\alpha), i(\alpha))$ for some $\alpha \in \Omega_X^1$.

The two maps $\Omega_X^1 \rightarrow \mathcal{V}_2 - \mathcal{V}_1$ given by $\alpha \mapsto (i(\alpha), 0)$ and $\alpha \mapsto (0, -i(\alpha))$ coincide and we denote their common value by i as well. There is a short exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow \Omega_X^1 \xrightarrow{i} \mathcal{V}_2 - \mathcal{V}_1 \xrightarrow{\pi} \mathcal{T}_X \rightarrow 0 ,$$

where $\pi((v_2, v_1))$ is defined to be the common value of $\pi(v_2)$ and $\pi(v_1)$.

Let

$$\begin{aligned} \partial(f) &= i(df), \quad f(v_2, v_1) = (f * v_2, f * v_1), \\ [(v_2, v_1), (v'_2, v'_1)] &= ([v_2, v'_2], [v_1, v'_1]), \quad \langle (v_2, v_1), (v'_2, v'_1) \rangle = \langle v_2, v'_2 \rangle + \langle v_1, v'_1 \rangle \end{aligned} \quad (4.8.2)$$

It is clear that component-wise $*$ -operation of $\mathcal{O}_X$ is well-defined on $\mathcal{V}_2 - \mathcal{V}_1$. Moreover, since the associator of the $\mathcal{O}_X$ -action on a vertex $\mathcal{O}_X$ -algebroid (i.e. the right hand side

of (3.2.1)) does not depend on the algebroid, the operation of $\mathcal{O}_X$ on $\mathcal{V}_2 - \mathcal{V}_1$ is, in fact, associative. Since the “anomalies” present in (3.2.2) and (3.2.5) are algebroid-independent, $\mathcal{V}_2 - \mathcal{V}_1$ is, in fact, an exact Courant $\mathcal{O}_X$ -algebroid. It is clear that $(\mathcal{V}_2 - \mathcal{V}_1) + \mathcal{V}_1$ is canonically isomorphic to $\mathcal{V}_2$.

So far we have established that, if non-empty, $\mathcal{EVA}_X(X)$ is a torsor under $\mathcal{ECA}_X(X)$. It remains to show that $\mathcal{EVA}_X$ is locally non-empty.

In the analytic or C^∞ case example 3.6.1 provides a locally defined EVA. Indeed, locally on X there exists an (abelian) Lie $\mathbb{C}$ -subalgebra τ such that $\mathcal{T}_X \cong \mathcal{O}_X \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} \tau$.

In the algebraic setting the same example shows that $\mathcal{EVA}_X$ is non-empty locally in étale topology. Since $H_{\text{ét}}^2(X, \Omega_X^2 \xrightarrow{d} \Omega_X^{3,cl})$ is canonically isomorphic to $H^2(X, \Omega_X^2 \xrightarrow{d} \Omega_X^{3,cl})$ it follows that $\mathcal{EVA}_X$ is non-empty Zariski-locally. $\square$

5. ALGEBROIDS OVER THE DE RHAM COMPLEX

All of the notions of the preceeding section generalize in an obvious way to differential graded manifolds (i.e. manifolds whose structure sheaves are a sheaves of commutative differential graded algebras).

For a manifold X let $X^\sharp$ denote the differential graded manifold with the underlying space X and the structure sheaf $\mathcal{O}_{X^\sharp}$ the de Rham complex $\Omega_X^\bullet$. In other words, $\mathcal{O}_{X^\sharp} = \bigoplus_i \Omega_X^i[-i]$ (as a sheaf of graded algebras). We will denote by $\partial_{\mathcal{O}_{X^\sharp}}$ the derivation given by the de Rham differential.

5.1. The structure of $\mathcal{T}_{X^\sharp}$. The tangent sheaf of $X^\sharp$ (of derivations of $\mathcal{O}_{X^\sharp}$), $\mathcal{T}_{X^\sharp}$ is a sheaf of differential graded Lie algebras with the differential $\partial_{\mathcal{T}_{X^\sharp}} = [\partial_{\mathcal{O}_{X^\sharp}}, \]$ (note that $\partial_{\mathcal{O}_{X^\sharp}} \in \mathcal{T}_{X^\sharp}^1$).

5.1.1. Let $\widetilde{\mathcal{T}}_X$ denote the cone of the identity endomorphism of $\mathcal{T}_X$. That is, $\widetilde{\mathcal{T}}_X^i = \mathcal{T}_X$ for $i = -1, 0$ and zero otherwise. The only nontrivial differential is the identity map. The complex $\widetilde{\mathcal{T}}_X$ has the canonical structure of a sheaf of differential graded Lie algebras.

The natural action of $\mathcal{T}_X$ (respectively $\mathcal{T}_X[1]$) on $\mathcal{O}_{X^\sharp}$ by the Lie derivative (respectively by interior product) gives rise to the injective map of DGLA

$$\tau : \widetilde{\mathcal{T}}_X \rightarrow \mathcal{T}_{X^\sharp} . \quad (5.1.1)$$

The action τ extends in the canonical way to a structure of a Lie $\mathcal{O}_{X^\sharp}$ -algebroid on $\mathcal{O}_{X^\sharp} \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} \widetilde{\mathcal{T}}_X$ with the anchor map

$$\tau_{\mathcal{O}_{X^\sharp}} : \mathcal{O}_{X^\sharp} \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} \widetilde{\mathcal{T}}_X \rightarrow \mathcal{T}_{X^\sharp} \quad (5.1.2)$$

the canonical extension τ to a $\mathcal{O}_{X^\sharp}$ -linear map. Note that $\tau_{\mathcal{O}_{X^\sharp}}$ is surjective, i.e. the Lie $\mathcal{O}_{X^\sharp}$ -algebroid $\mathcal{O}_{X^\sharp} \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} \widetilde{\mathcal{T}}_X$ is transitive. We denote this algebroid by $\widetilde{\mathcal{T}}_{X^\sharp}$.

5.1.2. Let $\mathcal{T}_{X^\#}/X \subset \mathcal{T}_{X^\#}$ denote the normalizer of $\mathcal{O}_X \subset \mathcal{O}_{X^\#}$. Since the action of $\mathcal{T}_X[1]$ is $\mathcal{O}_X$ -linear, the map τ restricts to

$$\tau : \widetilde{\mathcal{T}}_X^{-1} = \mathcal{T}_X[1] \rightarrow \mathcal{T}_{X^\#}/X$$

and (the restriction of) $\tau_{\mathcal{O}_{X^\#}}$ factors through the map

$$\mathcal{O}_{X^\#} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_X} \mathcal{T}_X[1] \rightarrow \mathcal{T}_{X^\#}/X \quad (5.1.3)$$

which is easily seen to be an isomorphism.

Since the action τ is $\mathcal{O}_X$ -linear modulo $\mathcal{T}_{X^\#}/X$, $\tau_{\mathcal{O}_{X^\#}}$ induces the map

$$\mathcal{O}_{X^\#} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_X} \mathcal{T}_X \rightarrow \mathcal{T}_{X^\#}/\mathcal{T}_{X^\#}/X \quad (5.1.4)$$

which is easily seen to be an isomorphism.

Therefore, there is an exact sequence of graded $\mathcal{O}_{X^\#}$ -modules

$$0 \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{X^\#} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_X} \mathcal{T}_X[1] \rightarrow \mathcal{T}_{X^\#} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{X^\#} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_X} \mathcal{T}_X \rightarrow 0. \quad (5.1.5)$$

The composition

$$\mathcal{O}_{X^\#} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_X} \mathcal{T}_X[1] \rightarrow \mathcal{T}_{X^\#} \xrightarrow{\partial_{\mathcal{T}_{X^\#}}} \mathcal{T}_{X^\#}[1] \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{X^\#} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_X} \mathcal{T}_X[1]$$

is the identity map.

5.2. Exact Courant $\mathcal{O}_{X^\#}$ -algebroids.

5.2.1. *Proposition.* Every exact Courant $\mathcal{O}_{X^\#}$ -algebroid admits a unique flat connection.

Proof. Consider a Courant algebroid

$$0 \rightarrow \Omega_{X^\#}^1 \xrightarrow{i} \mathcal{Q} \xrightarrow{\pi} \mathcal{T}_{X^\#} \rightarrow 0$$

Note that, since $\Omega_{X^\#}^1$ is concentrated in non-negative degrees, the map $\pi^{-1} : \mathcal{Q}^{-1} \rightarrow \mathcal{T}_{X^\#}^{-1}$ is an isomorphism. Since $\mathcal{T}_{X^\#}^{-1}$ generates $\mathcal{T}_{X^\#}$ as a DG-module over $\mathcal{O}_{X^\#}$, the splitting is unique if it exists.

To establish the existence it is necessary and sufficient to show that the restriction of the anchor map to the DG-submodule of $\mathcal{Q}$ generated by $\mathcal{Q}^{-1}$ is an isomorphism.

Note that the map $\tau : \widetilde{\mathcal{T}}_X \rightarrow \mathcal{T}_{X^\#}$ lifts in a unique way to a morphism of complexes $\tilde{\tau} : \widetilde{\mathcal{T}}_X \rightarrow \mathcal{Q}$. It is easily seen to be a morphism of DGLA. Let $\mathcal{Q}'$ denote the $\mathcal{O}_{X^\#}$ -submodule of $\mathcal{Q}$ generated by the image of $\tilde{\tau}$ (i.e. the DG $\mathcal{O}_{X^\#}$ -submodule generated by $\mathcal{Q}^{-1}$).

Since $\tilde{\tau}^{-1}$ is $\mathcal{O}_X$ -linear it extends to the map

$$\mathcal{O}_{X^\#} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_X} \mathcal{T}_X[1] \rightarrow \mathcal{Q}' \quad (5.2.1)$$

such that the composition

$$\mathcal{O}_{X^\#} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_X} \mathcal{T}_X[1] \rightarrow \mathcal{Q}' \xrightarrow{\pi} \mathcal{T}_{X^\#}$$

coincides with the composition of the isomorphism (5.1.3) with the inclusion into $\mathcal{T}_{X^\#}$. Therefore, (5.2.1) is a monomorphism whose image will be denoted $\mathcal{Q}''$, and π restricts to an isomorphism of $\mathcal{Q}''$ onto $\mathcal{T}_{X^\#}/X$.

Since $\tilde{\tau}^0$ is $\mathcal{O}_X$ -linear modulo $\mathcal{Q}''$ it extends to the map

$$\mathcal{O}_{X^\#} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_X} \mathcal{T}_X \rightarrow \mathcal{Q}'/\mathcal{Q}'' \quad (5.2.2)$$

which is surjective (since $\mathcal{Q}'/\mathcal{Q}''$ is generated as a $\mathcal{O}_{X^\#}$ -module by the image of $\tilde{\tau}^0$), and such that the composition

$$\mathcal{O}_{X^\#} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_X} \mathcal{T}_X \rightarrow \mathcal{Q}'/\mathcal{Q}'' \xrightarrow{\pi} \mathcal{T}_{X^\#}/\mathcal{T}_{X^\#}/X$$

coincides with the isomorphism (5.1.4). Therefore, (5.2.2) is an isomorphism.

Now the exact sequence (5.1.5) implies that π restricts to an isomorphism $\mathcal{Q}' \xrightarrow{\cong} \mathcal{T}_{X^\#}$. The desired splitting is the inverse isomorphism. It is obviously compatible with brackets, hence, is a flat connection. $\square$

5.2.2. *Corollary.* $\mathcal{ECA}_{\mathcal{O}_{X^\#}}$ is equivalent to the final stack.

5.2.3. *Corollary.* An exact vertex $\mathcal{O}_{X^\#}$ -algebroid exists and is unique up to canonical isomorphism.

Proof. Since $\mathcal{EVA}_{\mathcal{O}_{X^\#}}$ is an affine space under $\mathcal{ECA}_{\mathcal{O}_{X^\#}}$ the uniqueness (local and global) follows from Corollary 5.2.2. Local existence and uniqueness implies global existence. $\square$

5.3. **The exact vertex $\mathcal{O}_{X^\#}$ -algebroid.** For a vertex $\mathcal{O}_{X^\#}$ -algebroid $\mathcal{V}$ with anchor map π we will call a $\tilde{\mathcal{T}}_X$ -rigidification of $\mathcal{V}$ a map of DG Leibniz algebras $\psi : \tilde{\mathcal{T}}_X \rightarrow \mathcal{V}$ such that the composition $\pi \circ \psi$ coincides with the map (5.1.1). A rigidified vertex $\mathcal{O}_{X^\#}$ -algebroid is a pair $(\mathcal{V}, \psi)$, where $\mathcal{V}$ is a vertex $\mathcal{O}_{X^\#}$ -algebroid and ψ is a $\tilde{\mathcal{T}}_X$ -rigidification of $\mathcal{V}$. A morphism of rigidified vertex $\mathcal{O}_{X^\#}$ -algebroids is a morphism of vertex $\mathcal{O}_{X^\#}$ -algebroids which commutes with respective rigidifications.

The functor which assigns to a vertex $\mathcal{O}_{X^\#}$ -algebroid $\mathcal{V}$ the set of rigidifications of $\mathcal{V}$ is representable. The initial $\tilde{\mathcal{T}}_X$ -rigidified vertex $\mathcal{O}_{X^\#}$ -algebroid $\tilde{\mathcal{U}}$ may be described as follows. As a sheaf of $\mathbb{C}$ -vector spaces

$$\tilde{\mathcal{U}} = \Omega_{X^\#}^1 \oplus \mathcal{O}_{X^\#} \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} \tilde{\mathcal{T}}_X .$$

There is a unique structure of a vertex $\mathcal{O}_{X^\#}$ -algebroid on $\tilde{\mathcal{U}}$ such that

- the derivation $\partial : \mathcal{O}_{X^\#} \rightarrow \Omega_{\tilde{\mathcal{U}}}$ is given by the composition of the exterior derivative $d_{X^\#} : \Omega_{X^\#}^\bullet \rightarrow \Omega_{X^\#}^1$ with the inclusion of the first summand $\Omega_{X^\#}^1 \rightarrow \tilde{\mathcal{U}}$,
- the projection onto the second summand induces an isomorphism of Lie $\mathcal{O}_{X^\#}$ -algebroids $\tilde{\mathcal{U}} \xrightarrow{\cong} \Omega_{X^\#}^\bullet \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} \tilde{\mathcal{T}}_X$,
- the restriction to $1 \otimes \tilde{\mathcal{T}}_X$ of the adjoint action of $\tilde{\mathcal{U}}$ on $\Omega_{\tilde{\mathcal{U}}}$ coincides with the action of $\tilde{\mathcal{T}}_X$ on $\Omega_{X^\#}^1$ by the Lie derivative and (5.1.1).

Namely, the action of $\mathcal{O}_{X^\#}$ is forced by (3.2.1):

$$\alpha * (\beta \otimes \xi) = \alpha \wedge \beta \otimes \xi - \tau(\xi)(\alpha) d_{X^\#} \beta - \tau(\xi)(\beta) d_{X^\#} \alpha .$$

The symmetric pairing is the unique extension of the zero pairing on $1 \otimes \tilde{\mathcal{T}}_X$ which satisfies (3.2.5):

$$\langle \beta_1 \otimes \xi_1, \beta_2 \otimes \xi_2 \rangle = -\beta_1 \tau(\xi_2)(\tau(\xi_1)(\beta_2)) - \beta_2 \tau(\xi_1)(\tau(\xi_2)(\beta_1)) - \tau(\xi_1)(\beta_2) \tau(\xi_2)(\beta_1)$$

The Leibniz bracket on $\tilde{\mathcal{U}}$ is the unique extension of the Lie bracket on $\tilde{\mathcal{T}}_X$ which satisfies (3.2.2) and (3.2.3).

Consider the following condition on a $\tilde{\mathcal{T}}_X$ -rigidification ψ of a vertex $\mathcal{O}_{X^\#}$ -algebroid $\mathcal{V}$:

5.3.1. *The component $\psi^{-1} : \mathcal{T}_X \rightarrow \mathcal{V}^{-1}$ is $\mathcal{O}_X$ -linear, i.e. $\psi^{-1}(f\xi) = f * \psi^{-1}(\xi)$ for $f \in \mathcal{O}_X$ and $\xi \in \mathcal{T}_X$.*

The functor which assigns to a vertex $\mathcal{O}_{X^\#}$ -algebroid the collection of rigidifications of $\mathcal{V}$ satisfying 5.3.1 is represented by the appropriate quotient $\mathcal{U}$ of $\tilde{\mathcal{U}}$. We claim that $\mathcal{U}$ is an exact vertex $\mathcal{O}_{X^\#}$ -algebroid.

Let K denote the kernel of the canonical map $\tilde{\mathcal{T}}_{X^\#}^{-1} = \mathcal{O}_X \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} \mathcal{T}_X \rightarrow \mathcal{T}_X$.

Let

$$\mathcal{I} = \Omega_X^\bullet * K[1] + \Omega_X^\bullet * dK \subset \tilde{\mathcal{U}}.$$

Then, $\Omega_X^\bullet * \mathcal{I} = \mathcal{I}$, $\langle \tilde{\mathcal{U}}, \mathcal{I} \rangle = 0$, and $[\tilde{\mathcal{U}}, \mathcal{I}] \subseteq \mathcal{I}$. Moreover, $\mathcal{I}$ projects isomorphically onto the kernel of (5.1.2).

The essentially unique exact vertex $\mathcal{O}_{X^\#}$ -algebroid is $\mathcal{U} = \tilde{\mathcal{U}}/\mathcal{I}$.

REFERENCES

- [BD] A. Beilinson, V. Drinfeld, Chiral algebras, preprint.
- [GMS] V. Gorbunov, F. Malikov, V. Schechtman, Gerbes of chiral differential operators II, preprint.

E-mail address: bressler@math.arizona.edu